

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/31/2003 3592 10/699,510 Robert H. Wollenberg T-6298A (538-60) **EXAMINER** 02/16/2006 7590 Michael E. Carmen, Esq. WALLENHORST, MAUREEN M. CARMEN, ASSOCIATES, PLLC ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 170 Old Country Road Suite 400 1743 Mineola, NY 11501

DATE MAILED: 02/16/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/699,510	WOLLENBERG ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Maureen M. Wallenhorst	1743		

I ne MAILING DATE of this communication appear	s on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>06 February 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITIO	N FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the this application, applicant must timely file one of the following places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	g replies: (1) an amendmer e of Appeal (with appeal fee with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	nt, affidavit, or other evidence, which e) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3)
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of	the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late	r than SIX MONTHS from the	mailing date of the final rejection.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.	07(f).	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sho set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later the may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	sion and the corresponding an ortened statutory period for repl	nount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee y originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliant filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extens a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the second s	ion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e	e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>		
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, bu (a) They raise new issues that would require further cons (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	deration and/or search (see	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or		lly reducing or simplifying the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a co	responding number of final	ly rejected claims.
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		,, , ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121.	See attached Notice of No	n-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allow non-allowable claim(s). 		rate, timely filed amendment canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provid The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	will not be entered, or b) ∑ed below or appended.	will be entered and an explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .		
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-37</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and s was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	efore or on the date of filing ufficient reasons why the a	g a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered ffidavit or other evidence is necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a lentered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ove showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary a	rcome all rejections under a	appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	f the status of the claims af	ter entry is below or attached.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but d <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	oes NOT place the applicat	ion in condition for allowance because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (P7	O/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Pa _l	per No(s)
13. Other:	, ·	
Ma	meen m. Walle	nhoust
	UREEN M. WALLENHORST PRIMARY EXAMINER	Maureen M. Wallenhorst Primary Examiner
	GROUP 1000	Art Unit: 1743

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants have not filed an appropriate terminal disclaimer over application serial no. 10/699,529. In addition, Applicants' arguments are not persuasive for the reasons of record set forth in the final Office action mailed on November 4, 2005. Applicants also argue that Kolosov et al only discloses a system and method for screeing a library of a multitude of genera of material samples, one of which may be a lubricant, and a lubricant can be a grease or jelly and not necessarily a lubricating oil composition comprising a base oil and an additive. In response to this argument, Applicants are directed to paragraph nos. 0042 and 0043 in Kolosov et al where it states that the materials screened using the high throughput system and method can include gels, greases and oils, and that each of these materials may have an additive therein. The lubricant material analyzed in Kolosov et al is not limited to a grease or jelly, but rather, can be an oil with an additive therein. Since the dictionary definition of an additive is a substance added to another in relatively small amounts, it is inherent that in the teaching by Kolosov et al of lubricant oils containing an additive therein, that the additive is present in a minor amount while the base oil is present in a major amount since an additive by definition is only present in relatively small amounts compared to the substance it is added to.